THE WASHINGTON STAR (GREEN LINE) 10 November 1975

Garry Wills

An affirmative team doesn't hide negatives

You can almost hear what Ford's coaches were telling him just before his musical-chairs announcement of changed jobs in the administration. They said, 'Give it an affirmative aspect, say you just wanted your own team, don't get into the negative business of any faults in those you fired."

He trudged down the hall, telling himself, "Keep it on the affirmative side. Affirmative. Affirmative." Ford does not even translare advice to him into the appropriate answers to others. He just repeats the advice. To one of the first questions asked him, he said, "I put it on the affirmative side that they are my choices." His team.

He was so programmed to stress the affirmative, to accentuate the positive, that the word kept popping out in the oddest places: "Let me state affirmatively that Secretary Kissinger has done a superb job." His stress on the team concept was even more recurrent he used the term 13 times, occasionally coming back to it like a toy train on a small track: 🔗

"I think any president has to have the opportunity to put together his own team. They were kept on when I assumed office because I wanted continuity. But any president, to do the job that's needed and necessary, has to have his own team in the area of foreign policy. I believe that team that I have assembled, as I have indicated tonight, will do a first-class job.'

The two concepts were linked in his mind, and one

often pulled the other forward: "I think it's important that a president has that kind of team on an aifirmative basis." That was A pulling B. Here is B pulling A: "I have affirmatively answered the question by to carry out an affirmative, successful foreign policy.' And again: "I indicated that the team I put together will affirmatively satisfy the way I want an organizational structure set up.

All this stress on the positive just emphasized the Ford team's belief that: there was plenty of negative stuff to be ignored, evaded or denied. So, when pinned down, Ford said the Kissinger-Schlesinger split had nothing to do with choosing his affirmative ble. And said that Rumsfeld

leaks. The changed sequence of announcements. The fact that Schlesinger and Colby did not ease things by taking face-saving appointments. The fact that Rockefeller, his announcement upstaged by the leaks on Colby and Schlesinger, withdrew with so little grace. There was a lot to paper over with rote affirmative talk and cheers for the team.

Was Kissinger promoted, or demoted; or did he stay pretty much where he was? He was promoted, all right

- to the position of No. 1 dumpable.

Rockefeller held that soot until the shifts came. Even Ford was not out-Reaganing Reagan. Rockefeller's attempts to do that just looked silly. So, sooner or later. Ford had to dump saying I wanted my own later, Ford had to dump team." And then more A. him. It did not do him much leads-to-B: "The team and good right now. Earlier or the organizational structure Llater would have been better. But Rockefeller had to see this coming, and get restive; so it was now.

> With that excuse for opposition to Ford gone, the right wing will have to find another excuse; and the Schlesinger dismissal hands them a big one - detente. Somewhere down the line, Ford will have to throw another body off the sled and all the recent moves just fatten up Kissinger for that role.

Consider. Elliot Richardteam — which is impossison, who has publicly detook no part in arranging clared himself a spectacuthe shuffle — which is imlar choice to succeed plausible. And that he has the scene of the action. Don-no worries about Reagan and Rumsfeld, a quiet inwhich is unimaginable. A gald Rumsfeld, a quiet infighter against Kissinger, is The timing was a nega- egiven an independent role tive factor. So were the that must eat into the secretary of state's. George Bush, Rumsfeld's pal, is at the CIA, now taken out of Kissinger's direct control as head of the National Security Council

Rockefeller and Kissinger each canceled engagements to have lunch together the day after the "massacre."

They no doubt had a lot to talk about, not much of itaffirmative. One had just been thrown to the wolves, and the other had been edged toward the back of the sled.